

Table II-8. Title IV-E Foster Care, Adoption Assistance, and Kinship Guardianship Assistance Spending by State, FY2015

Dollars in Millions; Blank cell indicates the state or tribe did not seek federal support for this kind of assistance in FY2015

State or Other Jurisdiction	Foster Care			Adoption Assistance			Kinship Guardianship Assistance			TOTAL all three categories		
	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending
Alabama	\$30.5	\$56.9	53.7%	\$10.4	\$15.5	67.3%	\$0.528	\$0.779	67.8%	\$41.5	\$73.2	56.7%
Alaska	\$20.5	\$42.1	48.8%	\$13.5	\$26.7	50.3%	\$0.288	\$0.570	50.5%	\$34.3	\$69.4	49.4%
Arizona	\$136.7	\$222.6	61.4%	\$113.6	\$171.9	66.0%				\$250.2	\$394.5	63.4%
Arkansas	\$42.4	\$75.1	56.5%	\$19.5	\$28.0	69.7%				\$62.0	\$103.2	60.1%
California	\$1,190.5	\$2,332.9	51.0%	\$468.0	\$934.9	50.1%	\$37.018	\$74.015	50.0%	\$1,695.5	\$3,341.8	50.7%
Colorado	\$68.5	\$136.2	50.3%	\$17.2	\$33.7	50.9%	\$0.484	\$0.956	50.7%	\$86.1	\$170.8	50.4%
Connecticut	\$72.1	\$143.1	50.4%	\$42.3	\$81.5	51.9%	\$1.234	\$2.467	50.0%	\$115.7	\$227.1	50.9%
Delaware	\$5.7	\$11.3	50.2%	\$1.7	\$3.2	53.0%				\$7.4	\$14.5	50.8%
District of Columbia	\$45.6	\$82.5	55.2%	\$11.7	\$17.3	67.8%	\$2.340	\$3.433	68.1%	\$59.6	\$103.3	57.7%
Florida	\$172.6	\$320.6	53.8%	\$112.7	\$199.1	56.6%				\$285.3	\$519.7	54.9%
Georgia	\$80.7	\$144.5	55.8%	\$38.4	\$59.0	65.1%				\$119.1	\$203.5	58.5%
Hawaii	\$16.8	\$32.8	51.1%	\$15.3	\$29.4	52.1%	\$1.584	\$3.050	51.9%	\$33.7	\$65.3	51.6%
Idaho	\$9.5	\$16.5	57.2%	\$7.3	\$10.9	66.5%	\$0.019	\$0.026	72.4%	\$16.7	\$27.5	60.9%
Illinois ^a	\$192.4	\$382.3	50.3%	\$82.9	\$163.9	50.6%	\$7.823	\$13.286	58.9%	\$283.2	\$559.5	50.6%
Indiana	\$158.0	\$257.1	61.5%	\$59.4	\$91.2	65.1%				\$217.4	\$348.3	62.4%
Iowa ^a	\$18.8	\$35.5	53.0%	\$36.1	\$65.4	55.3%	\$0.009	\$0.017	56.3%	\$55.0	\$100.9	54.5%
Kansas	\$21.4	\$42.4	50.6%	\$16.8	\$30.0	56.0%				\$38.2	\$72.4	52.8%
Kentucky	\$45.7	\$75.2	60.8%	\$47.7	\$68.6	69.6%				\$93.4	\$143.8	65.0%
Louisiana	\$38.1	\$70.5	54.1%	\$17.1	\$28.5	60.0%	\$0.161	\$0.260	62.1%	\$55.4	\$99.3	55.8%
Maine	\$14.7	\$27.6	53.1%	\$14.7	\$24.2	60.6%	\$0.329	\$0.532	61.9%	\$29.7	\$52.4	56.7%
Maryland	\$52.8	\$105.4	50.1%	\$23.1	\$46.3	50.0%	\$2.424	\$4.849	50.0%	\$78.4	\$156.6	50.1%
Massachusetts	\$71.5	\$143.3	49.9%	\$23.5	\$47.3	49.7%	\$3.571	\$7.142	50.0%	\$98.6	\$197.7	49.9%
Michigan	\$124.4	\$229.5	54.2%	\$119.0	\$190.2	62.6%	\$3.107	\$4.783	64.9%	\$246.5	\$424.5	58.1%
Minnesota ^a	\$47.7	\$90.2	52.8%	\$24.6	\$48.0	51.3%	\$0.332	\$0.664	50.0%	\$72.6	\$138.9	52.3%
Mississippi	\$22.2	\$39.0	56.9%	\$9.9	\$14.7	67.0%				\$32.1	\$53.7	59.7%
Missouri	\$62.1	\$115.4	53.8%	\$34.4	\$55.8	61.6%	\$3.340	\$5.265	63.4%	\$99.8	\$176.5	56.6%
Montana ^a	\$12.9	\$21.7	59.3%	\$8.2	\$12.6	65.0%	\$1.222	\$1.886	64.8%	\$22.3	\$36.2	61.6%
Nebraska	\$14.5	\$27.9	52.1%	\$15.2	\$28.8	52.9%	\$0.214	\$0.420	50.9%	\$30.0	\$57.1	52.5%
Nevada	\$40.9	\$74.3	55.0%	\$29.6	\$48.2	61.5%				\$70.5	\$122.5	57.6%
New Hampshire	\$12.4	\$22.3	55.4%	\$3.3	\$6.5	50.0%				\$15.6	\$28.9	54.2%
New Jersey	\$95.1	\$189.6	50.2%	\$62.9	\$125.2	50.3%	\$1.898	\$3.779	50.2%	\$160.0	\$318.6	50.2%

State or Other Jurisdiction	Foster Care			Adoption Assistance			Kinship Guardianship Assistance			TOTAL all three categories		
	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending	Federal Spending	Total (Federal and State Spending)	Federal Share of Total Spending
New Mexico	\$19.1	\$31.7	60.3%	\$19.6	\$29.6	66.2%				\$38.7	\$61.3	63.2%
New York	\$426.7	\$849.2	50.2%	\$158.0	\$315.6	50.1%	\$3.519	\$6.960	50.6%	\$588.2	\$1,171.8	50.2%
North Carolina	\$69.9	\$128.1	54.5%	\$50.6	\$78.5	64.5%				\$120.5	\$206.6	58.3%
North Dakota	\$13.3	\$27.1	49.0%	\$5.5	\$10.9	50.3%				\$18.8	\$38.0	49.4%
Ohio	\$218.4	\$382.7	57.1%	\$156.0	\$282.9	55.1%				\$374.4	\$665.6	56.3%
Oklahoma	\$59.1	\$109.4	54.0%	\$48.9	\$80.5	60.8%				\$108.0	\$189.9	56.9%
Oregon	\$105.3	\$194.5	54.1%	\$53.1	\$87.3	60.8%	\$6.564	\$10.580	62.0%	\$164.9	\$292.5	56.4%
Pennsylvania	\$164.4	\$322.1	51.1%	\$94.9	\$184.1	51.5%	\$11.036	\$21.192	52.1%	\$270.3	\$527.4	51.3%
Puerto Rico	\$2.6	\$4.9	52.9%	\$0.2	\$0.4	55.0%				\$2.8	\$5.4	53.1%
Rhode Island	\$12.3	\$24.5	50.1%	\$7.3	\$14.3	51.0%	\$0.552	\$1.063	51.9%	\$20.1	\$39.9	50.5%
South Carolina	\$42.6	\$72.6	58.7%	\$17.5	\$26.7	65.5%				\$60.1	\$99.3	60.5%
South Dakota	\$6.4	\$12.4	51.6%	\$3.8	\$7.5	51.5%	\$0.044	\$0.067	66.0%	\$10.3	\$19.9	51.6%
Tennessee	\$45.1	\$76.4	59.0%	\$45.2	\$69.6	64.9%	\$5.220	\$8.037	65.0%	\$95.5	\$154.1	62.0%
Texas	\$202.5	\$373.7	54.2%	\$120.6	\$211.0	57.2%	\$4.861	\$8.465	57.4%	\$328.0	\$593.2	55.3%
Utah	\$24.9	\$44.6	55.8%	\$8.6	\$12.9	67.0%				\$33.5	\$57.5	58.3%
Vermont	\$11.5	\$21.6	53.3%	\$8.6	\$16.0	53.9%	\$0.065	\$0.121	53.8%	\$20.2	\$37.7	53.6%
Virginia	\$53.2	\$108.1	49.3%	\$46.3	\$92.2	50.1%				\$99.5	\$200.3	49.7%
Washington	\$79.4	\$152.8	52.0%	\$45.6	\$91.2	50.0%	\$0.599	\$1.197	50.0%	\$125.6	\$245.2	51.2%
West Virginia	\$39.5	\$62.3	63.5%	\$26.1	\$37.2	70.0%				\$65.6	\$99.5	65.9%
Wisconsin ^a	\$62.3	\$116.3	53.5%	\$48.0	\$83.8	57.3%	\$1.200	\$2.060	58.3%	\$111.5	\$202.2	55.1%
Wyoming	\$3.5	\$7.1	48.7%	\$0.7	\$1.4	50.0%				\$4.2	\$8.6	48.9%
Tribes												
Chickasaw (OK)	\$0.1	\$0.1	50.3%							\$0.1	\$0.1	50.3%
Navajo	\$1.3	\$2.1	61.8%				\$0.095	\$0.152	62.2%	\$1.4	\$2.2	61.8%
Port Gamble S'Klallam	\$0.3	\$0.5	62.5%							\$0.3	\$0.5	62.5%
TOTAL (States or Other Jurisdiction)	\$4,601	\$8,691	52.9%	\$2,465	\$4,441	55.5%	\$102	\$188	54.1%	\$7,168	\$13,320	53.8%

Source: Table prepared by CRS on December 9, 2016 for the 2016 version of the House Ways and Means Committee Green Book based on Title IV-E expenditures claims as submitted by states to HHS and annually compiled by HHS, ACF, OLAB. The data may not reflect subsequent disallowances or deferrals.

Note: Federal Support for kinship guardianship first became available in FY2009 and is optional. Some states or tribes may have approval to make Title IV-E kinship guardianship assistance payments but did not submit federal claims for this type of assistance in FY2015. For additional information, see discussion of “Kinship Guardianship Assistance,” in CRS Report R43458, *Child Welfare: Overview of Federal Programs and their Recent Funding*, by Emilie Stoltzfus, included in the CRS Reports section of this Green Book chapter.

- a. All of the kinship guardianship assistance spending shown for Iowa and Minnesota, and a part of the kinship guardianship assistance spending shown for Illinois, Montana, and Wisconsin, is related to “post-demonstration” costs. Section 474(g) of the Social Security Act permits a state to provide “post-demonstration” kinship guardianship

assistance for any child who as of September 30, 2008 was receiving assistance or services under a Title IV-E demonstration (waiver) project related to guardianship assistance. A state may provide this post-demonstration support even if it has not amended its Title IV-E plan to permit it to claim federal Title IV-E kinship guardianship assistance support. Iowa is the only one of these five states without a Title IV-E plan that includes kinship guardianship assistance. Minnesota has such an amendment but had not made claims under that authority through FY2015. Illinois, Montana, and Wisconsin have approval to provide Title IV-E kinship guardianship assistance and each made both “regular” and post-demonstration claims for federal support

